

Message

From: Sutin, Elyana [Sutin.Elyana@epa.gov]
Sent: 10/19/2017 7:39:14 PM
To: Schefski, Kenneth [Schefski.Kenneth@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Comments on permitting papers
Attachments: WW Permitting Overview for RA +bg.docx; UIC Permitting Overview for RA_v2+bg do.docx

Now that I've read this, it looks like it's just CWA and SDWA permitting.

Elyana R. Sutin
Deputy Regional Counsel
US EPA, Region 8
303.312.6899

From: Chin, Lucita
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Schefski, Kenneth <Schefski.Kenneth@epa.gov>; Sutin, Elyana <Sutin.Elyana@epa.gov>; Volk, Everett <volk.everett@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Comments on permitting papers

The UIC program informed me today that they have been asked to brief Doug on permitting. This is supposed to be a 1hour briefing combining all our permitting program. They have not been invited, so they do not know when and where, but they are drafting docs to prepare. I'm copying Everett here b/c the WW doc is attached, but my understanding is that it is for ALL permitting programs, so please let other media attorneys know as well.

Thanks, Lucita

Lucita Chin
Associate Regional Counsel
Environmental Protection Agency
1595 Wynkoop St.
Denver, CO 80202
Office: (303) 312-7832

From: Bahrman, Sarah
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2017 12:31 PM
To: Chin, Lucita <Chin.Lucita@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Comments on permitting papers

Sarah E. Bahrman | Director, Safe Drinking Water Program | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 8
(p) 303.312.6243 | (c) 303.903.8515 | (f) 877.876.9101

From: O'Connor, Darcy
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 5:21 PM
To: Bahrman, Sarah <Bahrman.Sarah@epa.gov>; Miller, Johanna <Miller.Johanna@epa.gov>; Rathbone, Colleen <Rathbone.Colleen@epa.gov>; Minter, Douglas <Minter.Douglas@epa.gov>
Cc: Garcia, Bert <Garcia.Bert@epa.gov>
Subject: Comments on permitting papers

Thanks for your quick work on the papers – you did a great job! I’m attaching comments from both Bert and me.

Sarah/Douglas – I added some detail on a couple of items that I’d like you to take a look at and let me know if you think it’s too much. One is highlighting that you deal with both O&G commissions and DEQs in the program (that could be overkill as you already state you work with 10 agencies), the other was attempting to tie in the tribal interest and work on Dewey. If you think either change makes it too confusing please take out, we can always highlight those points in our discussion.

Any questions on the comments, please let us know.

Thanks!

D